

September 1, 1965

CPYRGH

so far, the States have achieved unanimity. There is a reasonable chance that we will continue to work on this common ground.

California must present a unified front. We cannot tolerate internal divisions over water—the very lifeblood of our State. We can develop a cooperative program of regional water development. We can do this if we try to understand our neighbor's point of view—which is the best course of enlightened self-interest.

The south bay aqueduct—and the achievements to follow it soon—are the best proof that cooperation is essential if we are to produce good results.

We had to fight hard to win approval of the State water project. And today, let me make a suggestion.

Get in an airplane one of these days and fly over this State. As you watch California roll by under the wings you will know that the fight was well worth it.

You will see deserts that will produce billions in agricultural wealth because of this project.

You will see little towns that will become big cities.

You will see barren wastelands that will become vast recreation centers.

You will see the construction workers building a great river—a river that will enrich our economy and our lives. You will see the south bay aqueduct—and you will, I feel sure, resolve to go on building California in the future.

A Mischievous Movement

CPYRGH
EXTENSION OF REMARKS
OF**HON. BASIL L. WHITENER**

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, August 30, 1965

Mr. WHITENER. Mr. Speaker, my attention has been called to an editorial appearing in the Evening Star on January 19, 1878, relating to the issue of so-called home rule for the District of Columbia.

The editor, Mr. Crosby S. Noyes, was writing at a time when the Nation's Capital had just terminated an unfortunate experience in local self-government and the Congress was considering the creation of the commission form of government under which the District has now been operating for some 87 years.

Under unanimous consent I place the editorial in the Appendix of the RECORD.

A MISCHIEVOUS MOVEMENT

It seems that an effort is being made to induce Congress to pass a bill restoring the right of suffrage to this District. Some of the parties concerned in this effort are of the demagog or speculative stripe who enjoyed some rich pickings under the last elective form of government, and hope to renew them if they can have the control of the ballot box again. Others are citizens who have an honest but mistaken belief that the ballot is the sovereign panacea for all the ills that District flesh is heir to; but the number of this class of suffragists is very small. The great body of our citizens have come to the conclusion from dearly bought experience that whatever may be the advantages of suffrage for communities who exercise some real power in voting, its only effect here is to fasten upon the taxpayers the direct responsibility for the burdens of debt incurred by our local elective government for expenses connected with the National

City that should be shared by the general government. Congress has in various ways recognized the justice of the claim that the Government should pay its fair proportion of these expenses, and what is now needed is a united effort by our citizens to have a bill passed—the Hendee bill or any other—that will settle definitely what that proportion shall be. The passage of such a measure would do more to revive confidence and set business in motion than any other possible thing, and it would be very unfortunate if its adoption should be hindered by the injection of this suffrage question into the discussion. The ballot is a meaningless bauble in this District, over which Congress has exclusive control, and it would be the height of folly for us to lose the present favorable opportunity for getting legislation from Congress vitally important for every citizen, by clamoring for something that we don't want and would work only mischief to us if we got it.

Antisemitism file
Evil Aimed at Other People**EXTENSION OF REMARKS**

OF

HON. O. C. FISHER

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, August 30, 1965

Mr. FISHER. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend my remarks, I include a Life Line radio program on August 23, 1965:

EVIL AIMED AT OTHER PEOPLE—ANTI-SEMITISM IN RUSSIA

There are those who would like to disclaim it—but they can't. For the hammer and sickle has been indelibly stamped on this book. Published by the Soviet Government, it preaches hate and contempt of Jews.

A brainchild of the Ukrainian Academy of Sciences and released in December of 1964, the book is, ostensibly, a tract against the Jewish religion. The contents and socialist-style cartoons are presented in such a fashion as to incite anti-Semitism. This is certainly not hard to do, since excesses against the Jews are commonplace in the Soviet Union.

A careful study of the book reveals the depravity of the Communist mind. When it was shown to one U.S. Senator, he was quick to point out the difference between what the Soviets do internally—and what they proclaim externally.

And to illustrate, the lawmaker displayed a copy of the current English language Communist propaganda magazine, U.S.S.R., carrying a glowing pictorial story of the so-called "opportunities of Jews and the freedom of worship they have in Russia."

With a few words the Senator succinctly explained, and with the use of two of the Communists' own propaganda tools, he graphically demonstrated why the mistaken ideology of communism has succeeded. Simply stated, it has been able to expand rapidly throughout the world by using the big lie technique.

Certainly no more vivid example of this lying propaganda can be found than in the Communist treatment of the Jews in the Soviet Union.

Communists often have trouble keeping their lies straight. While they tell the world one thing, they tell their people another. The truth of the matter is always something else.

To the rest of the world, Communists would have people believe that there is no anti-Semitism under Marxism. But the Communist practice disproves their claims. The facts prove that their official line is nothing but lies. Let's look at the facts, as

reported recently in survey, a publication of B'nai B'rith International Council here in the Nation's Capital.

In Survey's special report, entitled "The Status of Jews in the Soviet Union," it is reported that the Jews are one of 108 nationality groups in the Soviet Union. And, according to Soviet law, Jews are recognized as a nationality group as well as a religion. As a nationality group, they are the 11th largest in the Soviet Union.

Just how do the Communists control the Jews in Russia? They require all Soviet youth, at the age of 16, to register to receive an identification card which lists nationality. This identification card must be carried throughout the individual's life. If both parents are Jewish the registrant must be recorded as Jewish. However, if one parent is not a Jew, the choice can be made to claim the nationality of that parent. It is believed that many do claim the non-Jewish nationality to escape the suppression that accompanies identity as a Jew.

How many Jews are there in Russia today? It has been estimated that there are between 2½ and 3 million who claim the Jewish nationality in the Soviet Union. Almost 5 years ago the Council of Affairs of Religious Cults (a government body charged with dealing with the non-Orthodox religious groups in the U.S.S.R.) published a report stating that there were some 500,000 in the Soviet Union who observed the Jewish faith.

But no other religious group is also considered a nationality group. This unique situation makes special difficulties for Jews under Communist rule. The political elite in the Soviet Union have developed a strong majority of control over the rest of the nationalities. This ruling elite is 60 percent Russian and 20 percent Ukrainian.

In 1939 Jews represented 10 percent of the Central Committee of the U.S.S.R., but their numbers have been diminished to about one-third of 1 percent. The Stalinist rationalization for reducing the Jewish representation from the political elite is typical of their big lie technique. According to the Russian line it was done—yes, you guessed it—in the interest of preventing anti-Semitism.

As only Communist propaganda can reason, anti-Semitism has been prevented by prohibiting Jews from participating in the government or by being fairly represented.

Anti-Semitism, which had been somewhat covert, became quite apparent in Russia in 1948. At that time a full scale press campaign was launched, a campaign which emphasized the implication that Jews were disloyal. Following this diabolical attack many of the long-standing Yiddish institutions were dismantled by the Communists, and the cultural expression of Jews was stifled. Then, in 1952, 26 leading Jewish intellectuals were secretly tried and executed.

Years 1949 to 1953 were known as the "black years." Hundreds of Jews were sent to concentration camps, camps from which they never returned. Others lost their jobs. It was during this period of terror that many Jews, fearing eventual exile to the Far East, actually kept their personal things packed and ready to travel.

The climax came in January of 1953, according to the Survey, special report of the B'nai B'rith International Council. After 4 years of heightened and stimulated suspicion against Jews, Pravda helped create a pogrom or massacre atmosphere. It was accomplished by charging that Jewish murder physicians had planned to assassinate Soviet military and civilian leaders in a doctor's plot.

This evil was exposed after Stalin's death and some of the tension relaxed, but Khrushchev never made an effort to condemn the previous anti-Semitism. Though there have been short periods when the pressure is lessened, Jews in the Soviet Union

September 1, 1965

CPYRGHT

CPYRGHT

dread the time when intensity will be increased once more.

Because Jews are considered a nationality and a religion in the Soviet Union, persecution against them is especially pronounced. There is a strict control of the official representatives of those who observe the Jewish faith. No official Jewish delegation is permitted to visit their counterparts outside of the Soviet Union.

In Moscow, Jews are warned against having contacts with visiting Jews or diplomats from Israel who might come to their synagogue for prayer. They have even been warned against shaking hands with visitors.

Since the time of the Communist takeover, the printing of the Hebrew Bible has not been allowed. No prayer books could be printed until 1958, when 3,000 copies were allowed to roll off the press. Still today, the manufacture of Jewish religious articles is strictly forbidden.

With each passing year, the providing of matzo for the Feast of the Passover becomes a more difficult problem. Although solemn public assurances are made that the unleavened bread will be available, the Communist regime has tightened the restrictions to the point that bakeries are no longer permitted to bake matzo. If the Jews plan to have matzo for Passover, they must do their own baking.

Perhaps the most apparent evidence of the attack against the Jews is seen when one attempts to visit their synagogues in Russia. In the last 10 years more than 80 percent of them have been closed down. In cities where there were sizable Jewish populations, synagogues have been literally padlocked. There are now less than 90 such Jewish houses of worship in the entire Soviet Union.

Today in Russia the Jew can usually predict when another synagogue has been marked for closing. The Marxist modus operandi seldom varies. First, there is the press campaign of suspicion and hostility toward the Jews. As an example, not long ago a synagogue was closed shortly after the local newspaper had charged that the house of worship was being used for what it described as "shady profiteering agreements."

The synagogue of Lvov was closed after a year-long campaign by the press which charged that it had been used as a center for currency speculations and criminal machinations.

The Survey report also points out that discouragement of Judaism has been intensified by the fact that there are now only about 60 rabbis in the entire Soviet Union. An equally frustrating factor in the propagation of the Jewish religion is the fact that there are no facilities for training new rabbis. It is estimated that there are presently only two or three seminarians enrolled in rabbinical training. Certainly that allows for little future leadership for a half million who cling tenaciously to their faith. Thus the Communists continue their evil aimed at other people. Yes, their propaganda experts are often embarrassed when they play their hand of anti-Semitism too far. Many times these clever manipulators have been caught redhanded—with their hate, their prejudices, their sham, their hypocrisy, their big lies showing. What they had planned as subtle and effective propaganda against the Jews has exploded in a revelation of the stark, naked truth concerning their technique of evil and deceit.

But the Communists are not unduly concerned over their slips. They are banking heavily on the natural tendency of freedom-loving people to forget the unpleasant; on the apathetic inclination of countless others to disbelieve what is too inhumanly shocking; and on the tremendous desire of all for peace.

The Communists are convinced that the average American is much more concerned with maintaining peace than with exposing and defeating those who would destroy both peace and freedom. So, the atheistic campaign goes on. The latest maneuver was a decision by the 22d Congress of the Communist Party to step up its program for overcoming of religious prejudices.

That is just more of Communist double-talk, or manipulation of the big lie technique. It means that the Communists plan to overcome religious prejudices, literally, by conducting a broad program of atheistic propaganda.

It is an unpleasant but inescapable fact that the world must deal with the almost overwhelming atheistic force of communism. Deal with it we must—if we are to live at peace for any length of time. This destructive force is ever at work to destroy men of good will, always in the name of peace.

The final outcome of this battle will depend on our individual reaction to its evil, its evil aimed at other people. How are we reacting? Dr. Frederick Brown Harris, Chaplain of the U.S. Senate, has something to say on the subject. "Alas," he said, "this turning the other cheek technique is at the heart of much of the 'peace now' protests which in these days of destiny are coming from church pulpits, from peace-at-any-price pacifists, and from college campuses which prate the same sophistries which brought on World War II."

"It must be remembered," the Senate Chaplain warned, "that when the Master confronted evil aimed at other people 'He looked round about on them with anger.' He was utterly unruffled no matter what men did to Him and what lies they told, but when injustice and duplicity and cruelty reached out to maim others who were defenseless, His anger rose to the fury of a tempest * * *

"To be Christ-like is never to be neutral, placid, or complacent when evil is having its malignant way with individuals or with society," concluded Dr. Frederick Brown Harris, in his column in the Washington (D.C.) Star.

As we reflect upon the inhumanity of communism, as we look behind the Iron and Bamboo Curtains, as we glimpse, if but briefly the captive nations, we cannot—we simply must not—turn the other cheek, in the name of peace. The fate of the Jews in Russia could well be the fate of freedom-loving people the world over. Peace and freedom are indivisible.

Who will come forward to defend peace and freedom? The fate of both rests with your personal decision.

Until we meet again, remember: The Soviet Constitution guarantees "freedom of anti-religious propaganda," but the government does not allow for the freedom of religious institutions to propagate their beliefs.

John J. Shaughnessy, a Patriotic American

EXTENSION OF REMARKS
OF

HON. HUGH L. CAREY

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, September 1, 1965

Mr. CAREY. Mr. Speaker, it would be a sad oversight if the recent passing of an obscure citizen from Brooklyn, a one-time minor Government civil service employee, but without doubt a most dedi-

cated patriot, were not to receive due recognition in the Congress of the United States. More than any other single man, John Shaughnessy carried on a tireless campaign to make certain that all American exports were clearly and unmistakably labeled "Made in the United States of America." A resolution (S. Con. Res. 40) to this effect was passed by the Congress in 1953 but for many long years was almost ignored. No one pressed for its implementation harder than John J. Shaughnessy. No one was more aware of the way in which countries, such as the U.S.S.R., could take advantage of our exports, particularly under the foreign aid program, by claiming them as their own, unless they were properly labeled.

John Shaughnessy expressed himself most clearly, for example, in an open letter he wrote to the late President Kennedy, published in the Manchester Union Leader on March 14, 1963. On that occasion he wrote:

Since we have a law compelling foreign importers to identify goods shipped here with the full name of their country—spelled out—why then can't American merchants and governmental entities do likewise and show American origin with the proper legal name of our country, "United States of America" on every item and every container unit—intermediate and master—for foreign or domestic use or consumption?

We have nothing to be ashamed of in this line of human endeavor because the material which emanates here is the best obtainable anywhere on earth.

My longtime efforts for "Mark American" have been purely altruistic. I never had anything to gain personally nor any other motive except to enhance the prestige and thereby promote the welfare of my country and yours.

Fortunately, the work of this fearless and indomitable citizen has in large measure been rewarded with success. As I stated over 3 years ago—February 15, 1962:

I am pleased to note that with the assistance of the present administration, a substantial improvement has now been attained and Mr. Shaughnessy's quest is not in vain.

The Department of Commerce found at that time that 75 percent of all the goods being shipped from 8 major ports were then "properly identified by use or predominant markings to indicate U.S. origin."

But vigilance in the continued execution of this policy is essential. It is up to us in the Congress to make certain that the efforts of Mr. Shaughnessy will continue to bear fruit to the benefit of our country in the years ahead.

Near East Speech

EXTENSION OF REMARKS
OF

HON. JAMES ROOSEVELT

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, August 24, 1965

Mr. ROOSEVELT. Mr. Speaker, my attention has been drawn to a statement by my distinguished colleague, the